INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Nagpur regional office

Mine file No: MAH/NAG/MN-312/NGP Mine code: 40MSH14036

(i) Name of the Inspecting : M017) ASHISH MISHRA

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri Arun Deoras, Agent

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 29/08/2019
(v) Prev.inspection date : 17/02/2011

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : WADEGAON (2.49H)

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/5319/2011

(e) Postal address

State : MAHARASHTRA

District : NAGPUR

Village :
Taluka :
Post office :
Pin Code :
FAX No. :
E-mail :
Phone :

(f) Police Station

(g) First opening date : 10/01/2008

(h) Weekly day of rest :

2. Address for : LAKKADSHAH BUILDING

correspondance ITWARI

NAGPUR

3. (a) Lease Number : MSH0349
(b) Lease area : 2.49
(c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 18/01/2027

4. Mineral worked : MANGANESE ORE Main

PAGE: 2

5. Name and Address of the

: SHRI SHAKEEL AHMED AQUEEL HUSSAIN Lessee

NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX :

Owner SHRI SHAKEEL AHMED AQUEEL HUSSAIN

NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX :

Plan/Scheme of Mining

6. Date of approval of Mining : Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960 11/12/2006 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 15/07/2015 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 05/12/2017

PAGE : 3

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	Nil	Nil	The area has ben explored through one pit, two trial pits (6 m X 3 m X 1 m) and 3 core boreholes (drilled in 2013-14). Afterwards, proposals are for exploratory mining (underground) and drilling 2 boreholes from ore drive. In the year 2018-19, mining started after stoppage of operations in the year 2010-11. Mine has worked for 58 days. As per the proposals, after the sinking of shaft and ore drives, exploration shall be taken up.

1b Exploration over G-1 & lease area for Unexplored geological axis 1 area or 2

G-1 area is approximately 1.3989 ha Unexplored area is 1.0977 ha

Unexplored area is mainly in the footwall side of the presently explored orebody in the northern part of the lease area in between N2359400 to N2359500 & E334500 to E334650, and in the eastern part of the lease area in between N2359380 to N2359420 & E334650 to E334800 where width is too low for systematic development. Both the areas have float ore as exposed in the old working pit. Exploration in form of trial pit has been done. Apart from this, southern unexplored part is blocked due to Canal.

1c	Exploration
	Agencies and
	Expenditure in
	lakh rupees
	during the year

No such proposals till underground workings are commenced, hence, Nil.

Nil

1d Balance area to
 be explored to
 bring Geological
 axis in 1 or 2

Unexplored area: 1.0911 ha

1.0911 ha area is yet to be explored in detail under G-1.

1e Balance reserve as on 01/04/20

Baqlance reserves/reso urces as on 01.04.2019 are given under Actual Work details. 111-22145 T 221-12005 T 1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

Mining has commenced almost after 9 years of closure due to not having EC and lack of demand. Exploration in form of one large pit, two trial pits (for float) and 3 core boreholes has already been done in the past. Occurrence of orebody of 3 ${\rm m}$ thickness is proved in the southern part of the lease area with having southerly dip, due to which most of the area is only in the footwall and having float ore only. Also, extreme southern part is under the influence zone of canal (blocked resources).

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

2a Location of As per the development approved w.r.t.lease area proposals

As per the approved furnished in the Modification in the Approved mining Plan for a period of 2017-18 to 2021-22, for the year 2018-19, development and production was proposed in between N2359300 to N2359400 & E334500 to E334600 in the already developed old pit.

Development as per the proposals, but lagging.

In the Mining Plan document, proposals were given for 2017-18 to 2021-22, however, mining operations commenced in January 2018. hence, development operations are going on as per the proposals but with a lag due to delay in getting EC and other consents prior to commencement of mining operations.

2b Separate benches Thickness of in topsoil, orebody is overburden and approximately minerals (Rule 3 m and it is 15) dipping due

orebody is approximately 3 m and it is dipping due south at around 45 degree dip. Hence, making separate benches in ore and shall not be possible for the category of mine which is mechanised (bench height=6 m). However, manual sorting of ROM and separate dumping of waste is proposed. No top soil shall be generated as working is proposed within the pit as well as in the float ore zone.

As per the proposals.

2c Stripping ratio For 2018-19, For 2018-19, actual Ore Higher Ore: OB : OB is 1:4.53 or ore to OB 1:3 ratio due to (ROM = 1104 T,sludge and waste ratio OB/Waste=5000 cum) clearing from the area as working started after almost 9 years. 2d Quantity of NilNil topsoil generation in m3 2018-19: 6000 2018-19: 5000 cum Quantity of 2e overburden cum generation in m3 2f General remarks Mining operations of inspecting are commenced in officers on the area after a development of period of 9 years. Development of pit pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc is proposed in form of deepening of existing old pit upto the economical depth and afterwards, underground workings are proposed to be undertaken for the extraction of entire mineable reserves available within the lease area. Float ore is also proposed for extraction where float occurrs at approximate 1 m thick band with average recovery of 10-15%.

Actual work

Propasals

Remarks

Exploitation:

Item

Sl.No.

Number of pit One pit One old pit was proposed Float ore zone proposed for for expansion and working at production deepening. Working is as N2359400 to per the scheme of N2359450 & E334500 production. to E334550 was also proposed but couldn't be started in the year 2018-19 due to availability of short span of time of working in the year (58 days) and workings stand limited to the existing pit only. 3b Quantity of ROM For 2018-19, For 2018-19, actual Production was ROM production production of ROM ore is mineral lower as working production 1104 T in the area has proposed: 11438 Т started in proposed January'18 after getting requisite clearances and consents from MoEF and SPCB. Number of days mine worked during the year were 58. 3с Recovery of Proposed Actual recovery is sailable/usable recovery of 84.23% (ROM mineral from ROM cleaned ore production=1104 T, production from ROM is Graded Ore 90%. production=930 T) Quantity of 2018-19: 572 T 2018-19: 174 T mineral reject generation Grade of mineral Threshold Mineral rejects have It was advised 3e during inspection rejects value: +10% Mn been considered that generation and Proposed Grade material which is having that mineral threshold value of Mineral Mn content lower than rejects are below cut-off and above declared. Rejects: Below threshold value. 8-10% threshold containing material out of ROM that is required to be stacked separately for future usage. Thus, the section need to be addressed properly in future.

2018-19: 572 T 2018-19: Nil

3f

Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.

3q Grade of sub grade mineral generation

Grade of the sub-grade is +10%-17% Mn

Actual: nil

ROM was graded and blended such that stack of graded ore of below 25% was prepared. Rest of the material which was having below 8% Mn was discarded as rejects. hence, generation of subgrade stood Nil.

3h Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM

Manual Sorting As per the proposals. and screening.

3i Any analysis or No such beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.

Nil proposals.

3 ј Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches Yes, drilling As per the proposals. is proposed through Jack Hammer drills

with 34 mm dia and 1.5 m drilling (bench is proposed to be developed for 6 m in 4 stages), blasting is proposed to carried out by licensed vendors.

3k Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches As per the proposals.

Yes, after blasting, ROM is proposed to be manually sorted and loaded into tippers/dumper s of 10 T capacity for transportation

31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Method of mining proposed is Category A Mechanised Mine. bench height proposed is 6 m.	Bench height proposed is 6 m that is being prepared in 4 stages of 1.5 m each. Bench height is suitable as per the category of mine proposed for working and as per the proposed machineries i.e. Jack Hammer (1.5 m drilling in each stage).
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	Area under pits at the start of mining plan proposal period is 0.5954 ha (As on 01.04.2017) Additional area proposed to be covered under pits upto 31.03.2022 is proposed as 0.0093 ha	Actual area under pits hasn't exceeded as present working in 2018-19 is limited within the existing pit.
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	For 2018-19, 1:3	For 2018-19, actual Ore : OB is 1:4.53 (ROM= 1104 T, OB/Waste=5000 cum)
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Total area put to use under different heads as on 31.03.2019 is given under actual work.	Area under: Excavation/Pits: 0.5954 ha Area under waste dumps: 0.3259 ha Area under roads: 0.0680 ha Total: 0.9893 ha
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2017-18: 3264 T 2016-17: 1440 cum 2015-16: 1440 cum 2014-15: No proposals 2013-14: No proposals	2017-18: Nil 2016-17: Nil 2015-16: Nil 2014-15: Nil 2013-14: Nil

3q General remarks
 of inspecting
 officers on
 method of mining
 etc.

Method of mining is semi-mechanised as transportation is proposed through tipper dumpers. Loading operations are manual. Mine is being worked through deepening of pit. Flaot ore working is also proposed so as to extract float ore available within the lease area. due to lease limitations, furture workings are proposed to be carried out through underground, after attaining economical depth through opencast.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	the proposed working area. Separate dumping of waste and stacking of mineral is proposed. Subgrade mineral	generated during the year 2018-19 were 174 T and are stacked separately at earmarked location. No top soil is available. Sub-grade mineral was blended with graded ore and stack of below 25% Mn containg material has been prepared.	

4b Location of Top Soil: Nil Top Soil: Nil topsoil, OB and Waste Dump: Old Waste Waste Dump: mineral reject N2359350 to N Dump is available within dumps 2359450 & the lease area at E334550 to E N2359350 to N2359400 & 334650 E334550 to E334600. New Mineral dump was propopsed rejects: In NW adjacent to this side boundary location. Waste is being (BP-9) of the dumped as per the lease area at proposals. N2359400 to Mieral Rejects: 174 T N2359450 & rejects have been E334500 to stacked at the location E334550 as per the proposals. Sub-grade: In NW side boundary (BP-9) of the lease area at N2359400 to N2359450 & E334500 to E334550 adjacent to mineral rejects stack 4c Number of dumps One waste Dump No top soil dump is within lease existing, one available. area and outside One mineral rejects dump additional of lease area (extension of is available. old dump) is One Graded Ore stack is available at N2359450 to proposed. No top soil N2359500 & E334550 to dump available E334650 in the northern part of the lease area. or proposed. No sub-grade mineral One Mineral reject stack. stack is available as One sub-grade sub-grade has been stack and one blended with graded ore. ROM/Graded mineral stock. 4d Location of All the dumps As per the proposals. dumps w.r.t. are proposed ultimate pit in footwall limit (Rule 16) side of the explored orebody in the area. Hence, dumps location is proposed outside the pit limits. 4e Number of active One waste dump As per the proposals. and alive dumps. is active. One mineral

rejects stack is active.

4f Number of dead Nil Nil dumps.

The area was having one old waste dump. This dump has been extended after the start of mining operations. After reaching the economical depth through opencast, the dump is proposed to be rehandled and waste is proposed to be backfilled in the NW side of the pit. Thus, no dead dump is available in the area.

4g Number of dumps Not applicable Nil established.

4h Whether No such
Retaining wall proposals as
or garland drain at the end of
all along dumps the approved
are there. proposal

No such No. proposals as the approved proposal period of 2017-18 to 2021-22, mine is proposed to be worked through underground workings only and the waste is proposed to be backfilled in the excavated part (NW side).

4i Length of
Retaining wall
or garland drain
all along dumps

4j Number of settling ponds

One settling Nil for the year 2018-

Nil

19.

pond is proposed to be constructed in the proposal period 2017-18 to 2021-22 in Eastern part of the lease area at approximate location N2359420 & E334650.

Nil

4k Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management

Waste generated is being dumped at the site proposed which is located in footwall side of the explored orebody. The dump is located away from the lease boundary presently and is being maintained regularly to check any wash-off. the material is proposed to be rehandled and backfilled in the pit exhausted through opencast mining at the end of mining plan proposal period. Hence, waste dump management aspect has been dealt satisfactorily.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual	work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	pit is proposed to be backfilled after full	As per	the proposals.	

5b Area under For backfilling of No mined out area is

For 2018-19: Nil No backfilling is proposed.

Backfilling is mainly proposed in 4th and 5th year of approved proposal period of 2017-18 to 2021-22, i.e., in the years 2020-21 & 2021-22 after exhaustion of mineral being worked through opencast.

5c Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)

No top soil is Nil available in the area. However, it is proposed that top soil, if any, generated during the course of mining in the area shall be used for capping over the backfilled area to support the plantation in future.

5d Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated

No such Nil proposals till 2018-19.

5e General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.

NW part of the existing pit mainly contains a band of float ore of approximate 1 m thickness spread over 3-4 m depth having overburden and waste. After exhaustion of mineral from this part of the pit, it is proposed to be backfilled in the years 2020-21 and 2021-22.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	The report is required to be submitted on or before 30th June every year for the works carried out under PMCP during preceeding year.		The report was obtained during inspection of the mine.
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	Nil	Nil	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	0.01 Ha in the western part of Non-mining Zone	Nil	Violation of Rule 11(2) of MCDR'17
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	25 saplings	Nil	Violation of Rule 11(2) of MCDR'17
бе	Cumulative no .of plants	50 Saplings	Nil	Working in the mine has started in the year 2018-19 however, proposals were from 2017-18 to plant 25 saplings each year. For not carrying out plantation in the year 2018-19 as per the proposals, violation has been served under Rule 11(2) of MCDR'17.
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	proposals till	Nil	
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Approximately Rs 25000/- for plantation and environmental monitoring		
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	No such proposals	Nil	

Proposals for backfilling have been given in the approved Mining Plan document in the year 2020-21 and 2021-22.

6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	No such proposals	Nil
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	No such proposals	Nil
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	No such proposals	Nil
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	No such proposals	Nil
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	It is proposed to plant 25 saplings each year in the non-mining zone (available waste land within the lease area). in 2018-19, it was proposed to cover approximately 0.01 ha area in the Western part of the lease area.	Nil
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	0.01 ha for 2018-19	Nil

60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	Plantation and green belt development	Nil	
6p	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	to monitor	No such activity has taken place for 2018-19.	As on the date of inspection, environment monitoring is being carried out and sample reports have been seen for analysis of air and water quality.
6q	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.			PMCP compliance and PMCP operations are required to be looked into more thoroughly. Backlog plantation is required to be covered in furture proposal period. Actual works under PMCP shall start at the end of the proposal period of 2017-18 to 2021-22 after backfilling when area for rehabilitation is available. Violation for not carrying out plantation as per the proposals is issued.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Grade-wise sorting and graded ore dispatch is proposed.	Graded ore stack has been prepared. No dispatch in the year 2018-19.	

PAGE: 19

7b Method of grade- Manual sorting As per the proposals. wise mineral of ROM sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.

7c Different grade Below 25% Mn Below 25% Mn of mineral sorted out at

mines.

56.74 T of old graded stock which was earlier reported under 'Below 25% Mn' grade has been reported under '25% to below 35% Mn' in the Annual Return for 2018-19. Violation of rule 45(7) is being issued for the same.

7d Any No such Nil beneficiation proposals

process at mines

•

7e General remarks
of inspecting
officer on
Mineral
conservation and
beneficiation
issues

Mineral conservation aspect is being dealt satisfactorily as sub-grade is being blended with graded ore and mineral rejects are being stacked separately for future considerations. Float ore is also proposed to be recovered. Due to lease limitations, entire area cannot be worked through opencast and thus, underground workings are proposed to extract entire mineable reserves available in the

area.

Environment:

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	as no top soil	Nil
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not applicable	Nil
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Yes	Yes
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Yes, Overburden/was te is proposed to be backfilled into mined out pit in NW part of the lease area in the years 2020-21 and 2021-22.	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No such proposals for the year 2018- 19	Nil
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Baseline information on existence of plantation has been done in the Mining Plan. The area is having a few trees only. Additionally, 25 saplings are proposed to be planted in the area in each year.	Additional plantation has not been carried out.
8g	Survival rate	80%	No plantation carried out.
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Yes.	Yes

8i General remarks
of inspecting
officer on
aesthetic beauty
in and around
mines area

Aesthetic beauty in and around the lease area is satisfactory apart from normal degradations due to mining operations. the area is having an old 'D'Costa Pit' in the adjacent abandoned area which was having an old mine. This pit is filled with water and it is being used by the local people for irrigation. Apart from this pit, the area is having agricultural fields in the vicinity.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Annual returns are required to be submitted online before 1st july each year for the preceeding year Monthly returns are required to be submitted online on or before 10th of every month for preceeding month	Annual return submitted online upto 2018-19 monthly return submitted online upto July'19	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer: Arun Deoras Geologist: Shri V. N. Sant Manager: Nil	Statutory persons appointed as per Rule 55 of MCDR'17. Appointment of manager was done as on the date of inspection.	

9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Area under waste dump: 0.1 ha	ha Area under waste dumps: 0.3259 ha Area under roads: 0.0680	Violation of Rule 45 (7)
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation		Correct information furnished.	
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	Mineral rejects: 1000 T Grade: Below 8% Mn	ROM production: 1104 T Graded Ore production: 930 T Hence, mineral rejects shall be 174 T.	Violation of Rule 45(7)
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	Production: 1104 T, Closing Stock: Nil Graded Ore: (a) Below 25% Mn: Opening Stock-0, Production-930	zero. For graded ore, 56.74 T was reported in below 25% Mn grade in the AR for 2017-18, whereas it is reported in 25% to below 35% in the monthly returns. hence, correct information hasn't been	Violation of rule 45(7)
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	(no dispatch)	As no sale has occurred, sale value reported as Nil is correct. Ex-mine price should be reported as latest available ASP published by IBM. Cost of production should have been furnished as production is reported.	Violation of rule 45(7)
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets		incorrect information has been furnished	Violation of rule 45(7)

9k Scrutiny of Dumper: 10 T Correct information Annual return on mining machineries

furnished as per the proposals given in the approved Mining plan document.

PAGE: 24

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

Violation observed				Show couse position			
Rule I	NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on		
MCDR17	Rule 11(1)	25/11/2019	20/02/2020				
MCDR17	Rule 29	25/11/2019	20/02/2020				
MCDR17 Rule 45(7)(a) 25/11/2019							

Date: (ASHISH MISHRA)

Indian Bureau of Mines